

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alcumdria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/609,722	06/30/2000	Lalitha Agnibotri	000142	2694
24737 75	90 05/19/2004		EXAMINER	
	ELLECTUAL PROPE	TRAN, THAI Q		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/609,722	AGNIBOTRI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thai Tran	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	= action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2 and 4-5</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/609,722

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wood et al (US 6,324,338 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Wood et al discloses, for use in a video recording device capable of storing a plurality of video program on a disk drive, a video memory manager (Fig. 1) comprising:

a video memory controller (a processor 101 of Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 39-45 and col. 4, lines 31-50) capable of detecting that said disk drive does not contain sufficient storage space to store a next-to-be-recorded program, wherein said video memory controller, in response to said detection, determines a first retention score associated with a first one of said plurality of video programs and a second retention score associated with a second one of said plurality of video programs, wherein said first and second retention scores indicate a desirability of retaining said first and second video programs respectively, and wherein said video memory controller deletes a lest desirable one of said first and second video programs (show may be selected for

Application/Control Number: 09/609,722

Art Unit: 2615

removal if it is a lower priority than the show to be recorded and other criteria may also be used disclosed in col. 4, lines 31-50).

Regarding claim 2, Wood et al also discloses the claimed wherein said video memory controller replaces said deleted least desirable video program with a program abstract identifying and describing said deleted least desirable video program (if the show to be recorded is, for example, a new episode of the situation comedy, the earliest episode of the situation comedy in the personal channel may be deleted disclosed in col. 4, lines 46-50).

Regarding claim 3, Wood et al discloses the claimed wherein said video memory controller compresses said least desirable video program prior to deleting said least desirable video program (the video compressor/decompressor 112 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 59-62).

Regarding claim 4, Wood et al discloses the claimed wherein said video memory controller deletes said compressed least desirable video program if said video memory controller determines that said disk drive still does not contain sufficient storage space to store said next-to-be-recorded program after compression of said least desirable video program has occurred (the video compressor/decompressor 112 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 59-62 and the deleting of show base on priority and other criteria disclosed in col. 4, lines 31-50).

Regarding claim 5, Wood et al also discloses the claimed wherein said video memory controller determines said first and second retention scores according to a

Application/Control Number: 09/609,722

Art Unit: 2615

plurality of factors associated with said first and second video programs (Critical Database disclosed in col. 4, line 58 to col. 6, line 67).

Regarding claim 6, Wood et al discloses the claimed wherein said plurality of factors comprises at least one of:

a user-entered rank ordering associated with said first and second video programs;

relative fairness of deleting said first video if said first video is associated with a first user of said video recording device and said second video is associated with a second user of said video recording device;

relative freshness of said first and second video programs;

relative durations of said first and second video programs compared to a duration of said next-to-be-recorded program (the earliest episode of the situation comedy in the personal channel may be deleted disclosed in col. 4, lines 47-50);

relative similarity of a category of said first video program and a category of said second video program compared to a category of said next-to-be-recorded program (the earliest episode of the situation comedy in the personal channel may be deleted disclosed in col. 4, lines 47-50); and

at least one of: 1) a program genre ratings associated with said first and second video program; 2) a number of times a television series associated with said first video program has been view; and 3) a program rating derived from at least one of: A) a user rating; and B) a rating retrieved from a program guide (user rating disclosed in col. 6, lines 21-39).

Application/Control Number: 09/609,722

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claim 7, Wood et al discloses a video recording device (Fig. 1) comprising:

a disk drive (video storage 105 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 28-37) capable of storing a plurality video programs;

a video recording controller (video storage 105 of Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 28-37 and 53-58) capable of receiving incoming video programs from an external source and storing said received incoming video programs on said disk drive; and

a video memory controller capable of detecting that said disk drive does not contain sufficient storage space to store a next-to-be-recorded program, wherein said video memory controller, in response to said detection, determines a first retention score associated with a first one of said plurality of video programs and a second retention score associated with a second one of said plurality of video programs, wherein said first and second retention scores indicates a desirability of retaining said first and second video programs respectively, and wherein said video memory controller deletes a least desirable one of said first and second video programs (a processor 101 of Fig. 1, and show may be selected for removal if it is a lower priority than the show to be recorded and other criteria may also be used disclosed col. 2, lines 39-45 and col. 4, lines 31-50).

Claims 8-12 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 2-6 above.

Method claims 13-18 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in corresponding apparatus claims 1-6.

The computer-readable storage medium claims 19-24 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in corresponding apparatus claims 1-6 and the program logic memory 102 disclosed in col. 2, lines 39-55.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited references relate to data storage management system.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4725. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTQ